

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/732,805                                  | 12/11/2003     | Robert Mitchell      | 002895/P1               | 5889             |
| 7   | 590 03/08/2006 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| Robert Mulcahy, Esq.                        |                |                      | KEENAN, JAMES W         |                  |
| Legal Affairs Dept. APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Box 450A                                    |                |                      | 3652                    |                  |
| Santa Clara, CA 95052                       |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| •   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/732,805  | MITCHELL ET AL.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | James Keenan  | 3652   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | vn from consideration.  | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12/11/03 is/are: a) ☐ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:                                    |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/732,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 3652

1. The continuing data section of the specification should be amended to indicate that the parent application 09/996,805 is itself a continuation of application 09/293,939, as well as updating the status of these applications with the corresponding Patent numbers.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 19, line 25, it is stated that the valve 113 is raised to the (open) position shown in figure 6, but page 20, line 6, states that the valve is shown in the closed position in figure 6.

Appropriate correction is required.

--ports-- and --port--.

Claims 1, 9, and 16-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 in claims 1 and 9, the word --centered-- is misspelled;
 in claims 16 and 17, "parts" and "part", respectively, should apparently be

In claim 18, line 2, --in-- should be inserted after "chamber".

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 6, 13, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hashimoto et al (US 5,482,607).

Hashimoto et al show a loadlock assembly for wafer processing in a vacuum chamber 41 including loadlocks 410 with first valves 419, second valves 411, and a port for evacuating/pressurizing the loadlock (not explicitly shown but inherent: see col. 9, line 17), wherein the first valve has a first width to accommodate wafers linearly transported therethrough and the second valve has a larger second width to accommodate wafers transported therethrough on an arcuate path centered on an axis 412 perpendicular to the second width located on the vacuum side of the second valve (see esp. fig. 11).

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 6. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of 7. the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/732,805

Art Unit: 3652

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 2, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto et al.

Although the ratio of the second to first width is not specified, it appears to be at least 1.2:1. In any event, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus of Hashimoto et al such that this ratio was at least 1.2:1, if not already present, as it has been held that discovering an optimum value or range of a variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Re claims 8 and 9, the addition of a hinged lid for allowing access to the loadlock would have been an obvious design expediency for easier maintenance and repair.

9. Claims 3-5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto et al in view of Sato et al (US 5,857,826).

Although the second valves of Hashimoto et al are gate or "slit" valves, the first valves are not.

Sato et al show in figure 8 a generally similar wafer processing system in which load locks 11e, 11f have "isolation gate valves". Although not explicitly shown, these are considered "slit" valves, absent any claimed structural limitations.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus of Hashimoto et al by utilizing slit valves for

Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

the first and second valves, as suggested by Sato et al, as this would merely be an alternate equivalent design choice for opening a load lock, the use of which would neither require undue experimentation nor produce unexpected results.

10. Claims 9, 12, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto et al in view of Adams (US 6,059,507).

Hashimoto et al do not show the load locks to be stacked.

Adams shows a wafer processing arrangement in which load locks 34, 38 are vertically stacked.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus of Hashimoto et al by stacking the loadlocks vertically, as this would increase throughput.

11. Claims 10, 11, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hashimoto et al in view of Adams, as applied to claims 9 and 18 above, and further in view of Sato et al.

Re claims 10 and 11, this rejection utilizes the same obviousness rationale set forth above in paragraph 9 with respect to claims 3-5.

Re claims 19 and 20, Sato et al show a robot with first and second arms movable along a vertical axis and independently rotatable about the axis. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have further modified the apparatus of Hashimoto et al by utilizing such a robot, especially when

equipped with vertically stacked loadlocks, as this would allow each gripper arm to independently access each loadlock as appropriate, thereby further increasing

throughput.

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 571-272-

6925. The examiner can normally be reached on (schedule varies).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eillen Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 3652

Page 6

iwk 3/6/06